

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/666,766	09/17/2003	Tadashi Sasaki	P/1250-259	7983		
2352	7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER			
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA			
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW TORK	, 111 100300103		1763			
				DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Applicant(s) SASAKI ET AL				1				
### Examiner ### Art Unit ### Mauven G. Arancibia 1763 **The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence eddress Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Banations of time may be available under the porelation as of 3 or RR 1, 1560). In one event, however, may a regly be timely filed in 110 operation for regly is specified shows, the maximum datable precision wiley and will explicit MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falure to regly within the set on extended print for regly vall, by stanker, cause the application become ABANCHED (35 U.S.C.§ 130). Any reply-wearbook by the 10ths blood with the mailing date of the communication. Falure to regly within the set on extended print for regly vall, by stanker, cause the application to become ABANCHED (35 U.S.C.§ 100). Any reply-wearbook by the 10ths blood and the mailing date of the communication, even if strongly filed, may reduce any former passed trans adjunctions. The strongly filed on 11 October 2005. **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 11 October 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-2g is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-2g is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-2g is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2g is/are pending in the application and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Maureen G. Arancibia 1763 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of term may be available under the proteined 37 STR 1.1361, no overul, movew, may reply be temped find If 10 period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statedary period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to reply which have the related period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statedary period will apply and will expire SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Feature to reply which have the related period for reply is application from a deplication become abHONDEOU SQ IS 0.5 (13). Feature to reply which have the related period for reply will be applicated by the application of the communication of the period of the communication of the communic		10/666,766	SASAKI ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 GF1 13/60, in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled where 10X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing data of this communication of 37 GF1 13/60, in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled where 10X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing data of this communication of 37 GF1 13/60, in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled, and the communication. Fallie to tape with which to set or calmond partial of no english, and paper and data of this communication. Period to the communication of 10X (6) MCNTHS from the mailing data of this communication, even at timely filled, may reduce any senter application to mailing the mailing data of this communication, even at timely filled, may reduce any senter application to mailing the mailing data of this communication, even at timely filled, may reduce any senter application to mailing the mailing data of this communication, even at timely filled, may reduce any senter application is given the mailing data of this communication, even at timely filled, may reduce any senter application is given by the provided the provided to provide the mailing data of this communication. 1) Responsive to communication (s) filled on 11 October 2005. 2a) This action is FinAL. 2b) This action is filled the provided to senter the mailing data of this communication. 2b) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the marries is closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation of the mailing data of this communication. 4) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1:20 is/are all	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Sinciplor of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1-136(b). In so creent, however, may a rejly the timely filled □ If the provide of regly is secrified down, the maximum studies yearlow alloy pay and will expire (X) (MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Feature to the secretary of the time of the provide the provide of the provide provide the provide of the provide of the communication. Feature them subjects the provide of the provide of the communication, even if finely filed, may reduce any subject to communication (S) filed on 11 October 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2c) □ This action is filed on 11 October 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2c) □ This action is filed on 11 October 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2c) □ States active this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are objected to. 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: allowed. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11) □ The oath or deciaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) □ All b) □ Some 1 coloration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office A								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estensions time may be swelded under the provisions of 30° FR 1:350°, hen exent, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If IC preved to reply a specified above, the maximum of a size of the communication of the communication of the provision		appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2)□ Nonbolice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-11449 or PTO:S800) 4)□ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 5)□ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vill, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replowed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTATION tute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altactrment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	October 2005.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,—						
Algoritation of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)								
4)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Irawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			,					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		d/or election requirement						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	c) are subject to rection and	ar or orostorroquiroment.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		= ' '						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	Examine: Note the attached						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Verified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , ,	onts have been received						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			plication No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * Junterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		Ç					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							

Application/Control Number: 10/666,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Upon further examination, the Examiner has concluded that the disclosed first and second "Preferred Embodiments" do not constitute patentably distinct species. The election of species requirement mailed 8 September 2005 is withdrawn. Claims 1-20 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0051644 to Sugimoto et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,079,693 to Ettinger et al.

In regards to Claim 1, Sugimoto et al. teaches a substrate processing apparatus (Figure 9) that removes an organic matter from a substrate W with use of a removal liquid (Paragraph 13), comprising: a process chamber 1051 directed to an organic matter removal process; a holding element 1067 to hold a substrate in the process chamber (Figure 10); a removal liquid supply element 1075 to supply said removal liquid to said substrate; and a shutter 1059 disposed in a transport area for said substrate that extends from a cassette C to said process chamber, said cassette housing said substrate W.

Art Unit: 1763

The cassette C holds the substrates arranged in a stack with gaps (Paragraph 108), and would therefore inherently allow at least partially for the transmission of light through said gaps. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

In regards to Claim 3, Sugimoto et al. teaches a plurality of shutters 1059 arranged in a plurality of locations in said transport area (at the entrances to various processing chambers 1051; Figure 9). The clearance between said plurality of shutters is greater than the size of the substrate in the direction of transport, to allow the substrate to pass between the processing chambers 1051. (Figure 9)

In regards to Claims 1 and 3, Sugimoto et al. does not expressly teach that the shutters 1059 are made of a light-blocking material to allow them to block light.

Ettinger et al. teaches that the plates 36A, 36B of a shutter (Figure 2) should be made of aluminum or stainless steel. (Column 8, Lines 26-30)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus taught by Sugimoto et al. to form all of the shutters of aluminum or stainless steel, as taught by Ettinger et al. The motivation for making such a modification, as taught by Ettinger et al. (Column 8, Lines 26-30), would have been to form the shutters of exemplary chemical-resistant material.

The solid metal shutters taught by the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. would inherently be capable of blocking light from passing through when the shutters were in a closed position. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

In regards to Claim 2, the wall 1065 provided with shutter 1059 forms an optically closed area enclosing the process chamber 1051.

The solid metal shutter taught by the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. would inherently block light passing through said transport area into the chamber. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

In regards to Claim 4, see the discussion of Claim 3.

In regards to Claim 5, the shutters 1059 are disposed in a transfer opening of each process chamber 1051. The shutters taught by the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. would inherently block light passing through the transfer opening into the process chamber when in a closed position. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

Art Unit: 1763

In regards to Claim 8, the elements 1059 are openable shutters. (ex. Paragraph 157)

In regards to Claims 19 and 20, the substrate processing apparatus taught by Sugimoto et al. can be used to remove an organic polymer caused by the transformation of a resist and formed by performing dry etching of a thin film on the substrate with use of the resist as a mask. (Paragraphs 7 and 8)

4. Claims 6, 7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. in view of Ettinger et al. as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,009,890 to Kaneko et al.

The teachings of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. were discussed above.

In regards to Claims 6, 7, 9, and 13, Sugimoto et al. additionally teaches a second process chamber 1091 directed to a different process, and a substrate transport mechanism in a relay section (substrate transporting mechanisms 1053, 1093 and delivery stand 1071) to transport said substrate between the different process chambers. Note that the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. teaches an inherently light-blocking shutter at the opening of each process chamber, as discussed above.

The combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. does not expressly teach an indexer section, a first gate section between the indexer section and the relay section, a second gate section between the relay section and an optically closed process section enclosing the process chambers, or first and second light blocking sections in the first and second gate sections.

Art Unit: 1763

Kaneko et al. teaches a processing apparatus (Figure 25) comprising an indexer section 2, a relay section 4, and a process section 3, with a first shuttered gate section 102 between the indexer section and the relay section, and a second shuttered gate section 103 between the relay section and the closed process section enclosing process chambers 51, 52.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. to include an indexer section, a first gate section between the indexer section and the relay section, a second gate section between the relay section and a closed process section enclosing the process chambers, and first and second shutters in the first and second gate sections. The motivation for making these modifications, as taught by Kaneko et al. (Column 16, Lines 3-25), would have been to allow an open type carrier having no lid to be used to transport multiple substrates. In other words, providing the gated sections would protect the substrates from any process proceeding in any other section. This benefit would also apply even if only one substrate were transferred at a time.

It also would have been obvious to form *all* of the shutters of aluminum or stainless steel, as discussed above to be taught by Ettinger et al. (Column 8, Lines 26-30) The motivation for making such a modification, as taught by Ettinger et al. (Column 8, Lines 26-30), would have been to form the shutters of exemplary chemical-resistant material.

The solid metal shutters taught by the combination of Sugimoto et al., Ettinger et al., and Kaneko et al. would inherently be capable of blocking light from passing through

Art Unit: 1763

each sectionwhen the shutters were in a closed position. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

In regards to Claim 10, see the discussion of Claim 1 above.

In regards to Claim 11, see the discussion of Claim 6.

In regards to Claim 12, Sugimoto et al. teaches that the second process chamber 1091 performs a drying process on a substrate after it is subjected to organic matter removal in process chamber 1051. (Paragraphs 157 and 159)

In regards to Claim 14, the shutters 1059 taught by Sugimoto et al. are individually controlled openable shutters, and would be capable of being so controlled as not to open concurrently. (Paragraph 157) Moreover, Kaneko et al. teaches that only one shutter should be opened at a time. (Column 16, Lines 3-26)

This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. in view of Ettinger et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,403,925 to Johnsgard et al.

The teachings of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. were discussed above.

Art Unit: 1763

The combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. does not expressly teach a viewing window for viewing the inside of the process chamber.

Johnsgard et al. teaches a viewing window 505 in chamber wall 502. (Figure 5) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Sugimoto et al. and Ettinger et al. to provide a viewing window in the process chamber. The motivation for doing so, as taught by Johnsgard et al. (Column 9, Lines 59-65), would have been to allow the substrate to be processed to be viewed *in situ*.

6. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. in view of Ettinger et al., and further in view of Johnsgard et al. as applied to Claim 15 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent 6,866,745 to Kim.

The teachings of Sugimoto et al., Ettinger et al., and Johnsgard et al. were discussed above.

In regards to Claims 16 and 17, the combination of Sugimoto et al., Ettinger et al., and Johnsgard et al. discussed above does not expressly teach an illumination element or a window-open prohibiting element.

Kim teaches that an illumination element 230 can be provided in a chamber with a viewing window (in chamber wall hollow 206a), as broadly recited in Claim 16. (Figure 3A)

Johnsgard et al. teaches that the viewing window 505 can be provided with a window-open prohibiting element (*a nontransmissive plate*; Column 9, Lines 65-67).

Art Unit: 1763

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combination of Sugimoto et al., Ettinger et al., and Johnsgard et al., to include an illumination element and a window-open prohibiting element. The motivation for including an illumination element, as taught by Kim (Column 8, Lines 4-5), would have been to light up the inside of the chamber. The motivation for including a window-open prohibiting element, as taught by Johnsgard et al. (Column 9, Lines 65-67), would have been to allow the window to be covered for improved insulation.

The illumination element taught by the combination of Sugimoto et al., Ettinger et al., Johnsgard et al., and Kim would be inherently capable of being switched on when the window was open and off when the window was closed, simply by turning the light on and off. Likewise, the window-open prohibiting element would be inherently capable of prohibiting the opening of the window during processing, simply by being in its closed postion. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

In regards to Claim 18, Sugimoto et al. teaches that the removal liquid supply element 1075 is controlled by a valve 1077. Said valve would be capable of being actuated to prohibit supply of the removal liquid at least during a period of time that the viewing window was open, based on a signal from controller 1000. This rejection is based on the fact the apparatus structure taught above has the inherent capability of being used in the manner intended by the Applicant. When a rejection is based on

Application/Control Number: 10/666,766 Page 10

Art Unit: 1763

inherency, a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or U.S.C. 103 is appropriate. (See *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 or MPEP 2112).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maureen G. Arancibia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1219. The examiner can normally be reached on core hours of 10-5, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maureen G. Arancibia Patent Examiner

Warren Harm bin

Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763